

Second Call for Applications: Evaluation Grid

Section	Actual Score	Maximum Score
1. Relevance of the action		30
1.1. How relevant is the proposal to the objectives and priorities of the BINA' and this Call for Proposals?		10
1.2. How relevant is the proposal to the particular needs and constraints of the targeted area?		10
1.3. Does the proposal contain specific added-value elements or innovation and best practices?		10
2. Effectiveness and feasibility of the action		15
2.1. How coherent is the overall design of the action?		5
2.2. Is the action plan clear and feasible?		5
2.3. Are the activities proposed appropriate, practical, and consistent with the objectives and expected results?		5
3. Sustainability of the action		20
3.1. Is the action likely to have a tangible impact on its target groups?		5
3.2. Is the proposal likely to have multiplier effects? (Including scope for replication, extension and information sharing.)		5
3.3. Are the expected results of the proposed action sustainable?: financially (<i>how will the activities be financed after the funding ends?</i>) institutionally (<i>will structures allowing the activities to continue be in place at the end of the action? Will there be local 'ownership' of the results of the action?</i>) at policy level (where applicable) (<i>what will be the structural impact of the action — e.g. will it lead to improved legislation, codes of conduct, methods, etc?</i>)		10
4. Budget and cost-effectiveness of the action		10
4.1. Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget?		5
4.2. Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the expected results satisfactory?		5
5. Financial and operational capacity		25
5.1. Does the CSO have sufficient experience of project management?		5
5.2. Does the CSO have sufficient technical expertise? (especially knowledge of the issues to be addressed)		5
5.3. Does the applicant have sufficient management capacity? (Including staff, equipment and ability to handle the budget for the action)?		5
5.4. Does the CSOs have the experience in the target area? If yes, is there sufficient proof provided?		10
TOTAL		100